

TWO FUNDING STREAMS: TE TAURA AND TE AHO

Differences and similarities

*Kotahi te aho ka whati
ki te kāpuia e kore e whati*

One strand of flax is easy to break, but many strands together bring strength

National
Science
Challenges

THE DEEP
SOUTH

Te Kōmata o
Te Tonga

This whakataukī speaks to the importance of all threads, red, white and black: no single thread is more important than the other. Our two Research Funds have been established with this in mind: Te Aho needs Te Taura and Te Taura cannot exist without Te Aho. This document takes you through the similarities and differences between Te Taura and Te Aho research funds. We encourage you to read this document to consider which fund you might apply for. If you have any pātai at all, please don't hesitate to reach out to us.

	TE TAURA	TE AHO
Governance differences	Academic institutions and consultancies Potential for multiple research partners	Community driven and owned Whānau and hapū trusts, Marae, NGOs, etc
Spark of curiosity	The spark may begin with a researcher, or be generated by discussion between a community and researchers	The spark is more likely to originate within a community
What we're looking for (Assessment criteria)	Supports whānau, hapū, iwi, Māori, and Māori business to anticipate, adapt, manage risk and thrive in a changing climate Enables authentic knowledge creation and exchange including mātauranga Māori, ngā atua Māori and tūpuna taketake Tests the barriers and confines of scientific research frameworks and methodologies Shifts research from a deficit model to a strengths model, striving for hope, curiosity, confidence, innovation and prosperity Projects that are clearly mapped out and achievable Supports whānau, hapū and iwi to design and develop creative and innovative climate adaptation solutions unique to their whenua and climate	
What we won't fund	We won't fund projects that prioritise non-Māori researchers and methodologies ahead of Māori researchers and methodologies We won't fund research that is disconnected from communities, or does not clearly demonstrate its value and benefit for Māori We won't fund research that largely "adds on" to mainstream projects We won't fund projects that cannot demonstrate	

	TE TAURA	TE AHO
Reporting and outputs	More likely to require written quarterly reports and a written final research report.	Locally useful and relevant methods of reporting, including oral reports. Reporting in te reo Māori encouraged.
Outcomes	Likely to be relevant to and have impact for larger bodies (iwi, Crown, research organisations) Knowledge generation may come through more traditional means such as publications, conference attendance etc. Likely to impact policy and decision making at regional or national level	We strongly encourage mātauranga to be expressed and exchanged in ways that make sense in te ao Māori (for example through karanga, whaikōrero, pūrakau, titonga, haka, rongoā, toi Māori, etc) Likely to have impact locally Knowledge generation is likely to be part of the process and be responsive to community needs Likely to lead to/support community action
Research excellence (the RfP will support researchers to set out how projects meet these standards)	Research excellence means that the project: - Has clear vision, goals and outcomes - Is driven by need - Has a clear process (methodology or steps) to achieve the vision - Engages innovative and relevant conceptual and methodological frameworks - Provides knowledge generation and transmission throughout the research (not just waiting for outputs at the end)	
Engagement to develop RfPs	Between hapū and iwi, iwi to iwi, hapū/iwi and business, iwi and the Crown, hapū/iwi and research institutions	Within and between whānau and hapū/hāpori
Funding amounts	Up to \$250K	Up to \$150K
Data	If your project needs or would benefit from localised or tailored climate data, our NIWA-based Climate Change Knowledge Broker is available to support data transfer, if possible	



WE CAN ADAPT, WE'VE DONE IT BEFORE, WE CAN DO IT AGAIN